

EXHIBIT C



The Class Action Fairness Act: A Wolf in Wolves' Clothing

by Jay L. Himes
Class Action Litigation Report | May 06, 2009

Federal court jurisdictional statutes can perhaps be likened to high-tech computer software. But what about CAFA procedure? That's not rocket science-more like plumbing.

The Class Action Fairness Act was designed to remedy "a perceived increase in plaintiffs' class actions filed in state courts," writes attorney Jay L. Himes in this Analysis & Perspective. Himes examines interlocutory appeals of federal court remand orders, and "the intersection between CAFA-covered class actions and cases that State Attorneys General bring, typically, on behalf of victimized consumers." The author then "step[s] back and comment[s] on CAFA's broader implications."

Click [here](#) to read the full article.

Topic:
Class Action Fairness Act

Tags:
Antitrust and Competition



Insights & Analyses
We're attuned to (often ahead of) the key issues facing the market. Our archive is an arsenal. It's about arming investors with the information they need to make sound decisions.

Useful Links

- > Practice Areas
- > Cases
- > About Us
- > News & Events

Our Locations

📍 New York
140 Broadway
New York, NY 10005
212-907-0700

📍 Washington, D.C.
1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW,
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036
202-772-1880

Stay Connected

f Facebook
🐦 Twitter
in LinkedIn



INSIGHTS & ANALYSES

The Class Action Fairness Act: A Wolf in Wolves' Clothing

Federal court jurisdictional statutes can perhaps be likened to high-tech computer software. But what about CAFA procedure? That's not rocket ...

Wednesday, May 6, 2009

by Jay L. Himes



INSIGHTS & ANALYSES



The Class Action Fairness Act: A Wolf in Wolves' Clothing

by Jay L. Himes

Class Action Litigation Report | May 06, 2009

Federal court jurisdictional statutes can perhaps be likened to high-tech computer software. But what about CAFA procedure? That's not rocket science-more like plumbing.

The Class Action Fairness Act was designed to remedy "a perceived increase in plaintiffs' class actions filed in state courts," writes attorney Jay L. Himes in this Analysis & Perspective. Himes examines interlocutory appeals of federal court remand orders, and "the intersection between CAFA-covered class actions and cases that State Attorneys General bring, typically, on behalf of victimized consumers." The author then "step[s] back and comment[s] on CAFA's broader implications."

Click [here](#) to read the full article.

Topic:

Class Action Fairness Act

Tags:

Antitrust and Competition



Insights & Analyses

We're attuned to (often ahead of) the key issues facing the market. Our archive is an arsenal. It's about arming investors with the information they need to make sound decisions.

Useful Links

- › Practice Areas
- › Cases
- › About Us
- › News & Events

Our Locations

📍 New York
140 Broadway
New York, NY 10005
212-907-0700

📍 Washington, D.C.
1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW,
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036
202-772-1880

Stay Connected

- Facebook
- Twitter
- LinkedIn



Insights+Analyses Published

We're attuned to (often ahead of) the key issues facing the market. It's about arming investors, consumers, and businesses with the information they need to make sound decisions.

Articles filtered by:

ALL AUTHORS



Jay L. Himes

Recent Posts



INSIGHTS & ANALYSES

The Class Action Fairness Act: A Wolf in Wolves' Clothing

Federal court jurisdictional statutes can perhaps be likened to high-tech computer software. But what about CAFA procedure? That's not rocket ...

Wednesday, May 6, 2009

by **Jay L. Himes**



INSIGHTS & ANALYSES

[A Mutual Funds Lawyer's Message](#)

This website requires cookies to provide all of its features. For more information on what data is contained in the cookies, Please see our [Privacy Policy page](#). To accept cookies for this site, please click the Allow button.

Allow

Leather Products, Inc. v. ...

Sunday, June 1, 2008

by **Jay L. Himes**

«

1

2

3

New York: 140 Broadway | New York, NY 10005 | 212-907-0700 | www.labaton.com
Delaware: 300 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1340 | Wilmington, DE 19801 | 302-573-2540
Washington, D.C.: 1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 500 | Washington, D.C. 20036 | 202-772-1880

Follow Us: [in](#) [t](#) [f](#)

Attorney Advertising

Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Some visual images used herein include models.

The materials appearing on this website are provided for informational purposes only and do not constitute legal advice. You should not take action based upon this information without consulting legal counsel. This site is not intended to create an attorney-client relationship. The hiring of a lawyer is an important decision that should not be based solely upon any single source of information, including advertising on this website. You may ask us to send you further information about us, and we urge you to review other sources of information about us.

Copyright © 2018 Labaton Sucharow LLP. All rights reserved.



